David S. Blau (Bar No. 166825) BLAU & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 6080 Center Drive, Suite 550 Los Angeles, California 90045 (310) 410-1900 phone (310) 410-1901 fax david@blaulaw.net

Attorneys for Plaintiff, American Safety Indemnity Company FILED

09 DEC 11 PM 3:52

CLERK. U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



8Y:

DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AMERICAN SAFETY INDEMNITY COMPANY, an Oklahoma Corporation,

Plaintiff,

V

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA., a Pennsylvania Corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants

8 LÁB

AJB

COMPLAINT FOR:

- (1) Declaratory Relief
- (2) Equitable Contribution

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMES NOW plaintiff American Safety Indemnity Company ("ASIC") and alleges against the defendants, and each of them as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff ASIC brings this action for declaratory relief and equitable contribution on its own behalf. ASIC is a corporation organized under the laws of Oklahoma, and was and now is, authorized to transact business in the State of California and adjusted construction defect claims arising out of an action filed

1

COMPLAINT



against one of its insureds in the County of San Diego wherein many of the facts and circumstances and damages giving rise to this action have occurred.

- 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa. ("National Union") is a corporation organized under the laws of Pennsylvania, and was, and now is, and in fact does transact business in the State of California including the County of San Diego.
- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that DOES 1 to 10 are entities authorized to do and are doing business in the State of California as insurers. The true names and capacities of the Defendants designated as DOES 1 to 10 are unknown to Plaintiff, and therefore are sued by fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the Defendants designated as DOES 1 to 10 is legally responsible in some manner for the matters described in this Complaint and therefore names them as parties. Plaintiff therefore reserves leave to amend this complaint to assert the proper names of each defendant in the future.

JURISDICTION

4. Plaintiff is a corporation under the laws of the State of Oklahoma, having its principal place of business in the State of Georgia. Defendant National Union is an insurance corporation incorporated under the laws of Pennsylvania, with its principal places of business in New York (corporate offices) and Pennsylvania (state of incorporation). This Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 in that it is a civil action between citizens of different states in which the matter in controversy exceeds, exclusive of costs

VENUE

5. Venue is proper in this district in that all Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district at the time the action is commenced.

BACKGROUND ALLEGATIONS

- 6. ASIC issued successive commercial general liability policies to Signs & Pinnick, Inc. ("Signs & Pinnick"), policy numbers XGI 01-2732-001 and XGI 01-2732-002, with effective dates of November 1, 2001, to November 1, 2003, (referred to herein as the "ASIC policies"). The ASIC policies contain various conditions on coverage as well as exclusions.
- 7. National Union issued a commercial general liability policy to Signs & Pinnick with effective dates commencing November 1, 2003, to November 1, 2004.
- 8. On or about February 16, 1999, Signs & Pinnick contracted with developer 4S Kelwood General Partnership ("Kelwood") to perform work on seven (7) single family home building sites at the "4S Ranch" residential development located at Lone Bluff Way, San Diego, California. Pursuant to the contract, Signs & Pinnick's scope of work included rough grading, subterranean drainage systems, support buttresses and retaining walls, reservoir excavation and finish pad grading. Signs & Pinnick substantially completed its work in June of 2000.

5

8 9

11

10

13

14

12

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

- On or about, July 12, 2001, Kelwood sold the seven (7) lots to 9. developer, PLC 4S Ranch, LLC ("PLC 4S"). PLC 4S constructed seven (7) homes on the lots. The Notice of Completion dates for these homes are all in November of 2002.
- PLC 4S sold the seven (7) homes to individual homeowners, who 10. purchased and moved into them in November and December of 2002.
- On February 15, 2005, Kellwood filed a lawsuit for construction 11. defects and damages against various defendants, including Signs & Pinnik, entitled 4S Kelwood General Partnership, et al. v. Geocon, et al., San Diego Superior Case No. GIC871912 (hereinafter referred to as the "Kelwood Action"). The operative Complaint in the Kelwood Action alleged that the homeowners began complaining of soil subsidence problems in 2003. The Complaint further alleged that, following investigation and testing, PLC 4S agreed, as part of a settlement with Kelwood, to pay Kelwood \$2,000,000.00 and to assign all claims and rights of PLC 4S against defendants to Kelwood. Also as part of a settlement with the homeowners, Kelwood agreed to purchase back the seven (7) homes at a total cost of \$7,215,409.00. Kelwood alleged that they expended, and would continue to expend, considerable amounts to investigate and repair the properties. Per the Complaint, the seven (7) homes are currently owned by Kelwood and occupied by renters.
- Developer JMIR-Otay Multifamily, LLC contracted with general 12. contractor Wermers Corporation ("Wermers") to construct a three hundred and sixty-four (364) unit apartment complex located at 1020 and/or 1100 Dennery Road, San Diego, California. Thereafter, on October 24, 2001, Wermers entered into a subcontract agreement with Signs & Pinnick to perform grading services

at the project. Signs & Pinnick's work was substantially completed on or before September 23, 2002. The entire project was substantially completed on or before June 25, 2003. On or shortly after October 30, 2003, the project was sold to R & V Management Corporation and assigned soon thereafter, on or before December 19, 2003, to Casoleil, LP, Cliffbridge Manor, LP, and Sunset South Bay, LTD (collectively referred to hereinafter as "Casoleil").

- 13. On March 13, 2006, Casoleil filed a lawsuit for construction defects and damages against Wermers entitled Casoleil L.P., et al. v. Wermers Corporation, et al., San Diego Superior Case No. GIC862625 (hereinafter referred to as the "Casoleil Action," and combined with the Kelwood Action, collectively referred to herein as the "Underlying Actions"). Thereafter, on or before November 29, 2006, Wermers filed a cross-complaint against various subcontractors, including Signs & Pinnik.
- 14. The operative Complaint in the Casoleil Action asserts with respect to the purported property damages that:
 - a. "Plaintiffs allege that construction and design deficiencies at the Subject Property have developed and occurred over time and have resulted in continuous and progressive physical damage and loss of use of the Subject Property;" and
 - b. "Within the past two years, Plaintiffs became aware of facts which thereafter, upon investigation, resulted in Plaintiffs being informed that portions of the Subject Property were not adequately built, constructed, developed, designed, supervised or otherwise improved so that defective conditions exist and do

now exist, and accordingly, the Subject Property is defective, not of merchantable quality, and not reasonably fit for its intended purpose."

- 15. As a result of the Underlying Actions, Signs & Pinnick tendered its defense and indemnity to ASIC. Tenders were also sent to insurer, American International Group, Inc. ("AIG"), the parent company of National Union.
- 16. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges the Underlying Actions involved allegations and claims for property damage which potentially first took place during the effective periods of insurance issued by National Union and DOES 1 to 10.
- 17. ASIC agreed to defend Signs & Pinnick in the Underlying Actions under a reservation of rights. National Union denied the tenders.
- 18. To date, ASIC has paid well in excess of \$1,000,000.00 (Kelwood Action) and \$300,000.00 (Casoleil Action) in connection with the defense of Signs & Pinnick in regard to the Underlying Actions.
- 19. ASIC is informed and believes that National Union and DOES 1 to 10 issued one or more primary commercial general liability policies and had a duty to defend Signs & Pinnick in the Underlying Actions.
- 20. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that National Union and DOES 1 to 10 improperly denied the tenders.
 - 21. National Union and DOES 1 to 10 improperly refused to participate

in the defense of Signs & Pinnick in the Underlying Actions.

CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION DECLARATORY RELIEF AGAINST NATIONAL UNION AND DOES 1 TO 10

- 22. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 21 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 23. An actual, present and justiciable controversy has arisen and now exists between ASIC and Defendants concerning the parties' respective rights, duties and obligations in that ASIC contends, pursuant to the terms of Defendants' policies issued to Signs & Pinnick, Defendants were each required to participate in the defense of Signs & Pinnick in connection with the Underlying Actions, and Defendants dispute this contention.
- 24. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff seeks a judicial determination of the parties' respective duties under their respective insurance policies in connection with the defense of Signs & Pinnick in the Underlying Actions.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION EQUITABLE CONTRIBUTION AS AGAINST NATIONAL UNION AND DOES 1-10

25. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 24 of this Complaint as though set forth fully herein.

•		
COMPLAINT	•	

- 26. National Union has failed and refused to fulfill its duty to defend Signs & Pinnik in connection with the Underlying Actions leaving ASIC no choice but to pay in excess of its fair share of said defense costs.
- 27. By reason of National Union's failure and refusal to participate in the defense of Signs & Pinnick in the Underlying Actions, National Union has damaged ASIC and is liable to ASIC for any sums expended by ASIC to defend Signs & Pinnik in the Underlying Actions that are in excess of ASIC's equitable share.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1. For a declaration that Defendants had a duty to defend Signs & Pinnick in connection with the claims, demands, and causes of action alleged in the Underlying Actions;
- 2. For a declaration of each party's proportionate or equitable share of contribution toward the defense of Signs & Pinnick in the Underlying Actions;
- For compensatory damages for declaratory relief and/or contribution 3. according to proof, and interest thereon including prejudgment interest, in an amount within the jurisdiction of this Court;
 - 4. For costs of suit incurred herein; and

O			
COMPLAINT	 		

1	5.	For such other and furt	her relief as the Court may deem just and
2	proper.		
3			
4	Respectfu	lly submitted,	
5			
6	DATED: 1	December 11, 2009	BLAU & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
7			
8			By:
9			David S. Blau Attorney for Plaintiff American Safety Indemnity Company
10			American Safety Indemnity Company
11			
12			
13			·
14			
15			
16			
17 18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
- 1	11		0

COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiff hereby demands a Trial by Jury. Respectfully submitted, BLAU & ASSOCIATES, P.C. DATED: December 11, 2009 Вŷ David S. Blau Attorney for Plaintiff American Safety Indemnity Company

Filed 12/11/09 Page 1 ORIGINAL

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clark of Court-for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	00.050	
American Safety Indemnity Co., an Oklahoma Corporation,			Corporation; and	i DOES 1-through 10 ,	rgh, Pa; a Consylvania
	of First Listed Plaintiff Oklaho	ma County, OK	County of Residence of	First Listed Defeated the TRIC	Unknewe (BA)
· ·	(CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) Address, and Telephone Number)		NOTE: IN LAND '09 CV	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF CONDEMNATION CASES, US	E THE LOCATION OF THE
David S. Blau (SBN 166	825), Blau & Associates, P	P.C., 6080 Center	·		34
Drive, Suite 550, Los An	geles, CA 90045, (310) 41	0-1900		·	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box	x Only) III. C	TIZENSHIP OF PI For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
U.S. Government Plaintiff	 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Part) 	y) Citiz	en of This State		PTF DEF
C) 2 U.S. Government Defendant	■ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Partic		zen of Another State	2	
·			zen or Subject of a Dereign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	06 06
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					
			Chiarianamatakan		
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	310 Airplane	Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs. 60 Occupational Safety/Health 90 Other 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act		□ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service
□ 2 Re	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	te Court Rec	ppened another	ferred from	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute und 28 U.S.C. 1332 (dive	ler which you are filing	(Do not cite jurisdictions	al statutes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of cause: Declaratory Relief, Co				erage)
VII, REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CI UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		DEMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions): JUDGE	\	<u> </u>	DOCKET NUMBER	· · ·
12/11/2009 SIGNATURE OF AN OWNER TRECORD					
RECEIPT # SILL A	MOUNT 30, - A	PPLYING IFP	JUDGE	, ^{a,™} MAG. JU	DGE



Court Name: USDC California Southern

Division: 3

Receipt Number: CASO08214 Cashier ID: sramirez Transaction Date: 12/11/2009

Payer Name: ACE MESSENGER

CIVIL FILING FEE

For: AMERICAN SAFETY V. NAT. UNION Case/Party: D-CAS-3-09-CV-002778-001

\$350.00 Amount:

PAPER COPIES

For: AMERICAN SAFETY V. NAT. UNION

Amount: \$1.00

Check/Money Order Num: 43062

Amt Tendered: \$351.00

Total Due:

\$351.00

Total Tendered: \$351.00

Change Amt: \$0.00

There will be a fee of \$45.00 charged for any returned check.